

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The rejections presented in the Office Action dated (hereinafter Office Action) have been considered. Claims 50-88 remain pending in the application. Reconsideration of the pending claims and allowance of the application in view of the present response is respectfully requested.

Claims 1, 4, 7-15, 18, 21-26, 29, 34-36, 39-41 and 46-49 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 03/003767 to *Soininen et al.* (hereinafter “*Soininen*”) in view of U.S. Publication No. 2003/0026245 by *Ejzak* (hereinafter “*Ejzak*”), and further in view of “*SDP: Session Description Protocol*” by *Handley et al.* (hereinafter “*Handley*”). Claims 16-17, 19-20, 37-38 and 43-44 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Soininen* in view of *Ejzak*, and further in view of *Handley* and U.S. Publication No. 2004/0120505 by *Kotzin et al.* (hereinafter “*Kotzin*”).

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection. However, in order to facilitate prosecution, the Applicants have cancelled Claims 1, 4, 7-26, 29, 34-41, 43-44 and 46-49 and added new Claims 50-88 in lieu of further amendments. Thus, without acquiescence of the rejection or reasons therefor, the Applicants reserve the right to file continuing applications involving the original subject matter of the application, including that subject matter associated with original Claims 1-49. Applicants believe that newly added claims 50-88 are responsive to rejections raised in previous Office Actions. In particular, Applicants submit that Claims 50-88 are allowable over the respective combinations of *Soininen* in view of *Ejzak* and *Soininen* in view of *Ejzak* and *Kotzin*.

Independent Claims 50, 72, 80, and 81 are generally directed to methods, systems, and apparatus for establishing connections between terminals using a circuit-switched (CS) connection. A Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) dialog is established via a packet-switched (PS) multimedia network for the purpose of establishing a CS connection involving at least one terminal that is incapable of engaging in streaming communications via the PS multimedia network. Establishing the dialog includes sending CS bearer information of the attempted CS connection and a caller line identifier associated with terminal requesting the CS connection.

In contrast, *Soininen* is directed to system for supplementing a packet switched connections using circuit switched connections, and vice-versa. For example, *Soininen* services such as whiteboarding being “supported by means of simultaneous circuit-switched and packet-switched links between common endpoints.” (p. 5, last paragraph). Although *Soininen* indicates that a circuit-switched connection may be used to supplement a packet-switched connection and vice versa, *Soininen* is silent on establishing a dialog with a terminal that is incapable of engaging in streaming communications via a PS multimedia network. Further, *Soininen* is silent on establishing a dialog that includes a caller line identifier. In *Soininen*, the call setup is described on the last two paragraphs of page 7, and there is no mention of communicating a caller line identifier in the call setup information.

Independent Claim 71 is directed to a method for establishing a dialog between terminals using a Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) through a packet-switched (PS) multimedia network. CS bearer information is communicated between the at least two terminals via the dialog by way of a SIP message indicating the CS bearer information. The CS bearer information includes at least multimedia caller line identification (MCLI) data and an indication that a communication flow is requested via a CS network.

In contrast, *Soininen* is silent on the use of MCLI data with CS bearer information when establishing a CS connection via a PS multimedia network. *Soininen* describes select services for communication via PS channels, including “images to support click-to-talk services, drawings that are to be shared in whiteboard services, and supporting data on participants' actions for supporting chat services.” (p. 5). These services are generally described as “rich call services” (e.g., p. 6, first line). However, as are described in *Soininen*, these services involve the simultaneous CS and PS communications via the network (e.g., p. 6, middle paragraph). In contrast, MCLI, as with other caller line identifiers, is used before CS connections are established.

Dependent Claims 51-70 depend from independent Claim 50; dependent Claims 73-79 depend from independent Claim 72; and dependent Claims 82-88 depend from independent Claim 81. These dependent claims include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, and recite additional features which further distinguish

these claims from respective combination of *Soininen, Ejzak and Kotzin*. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that dependent Claims 51-70, 73-79, 82-88 are also in condition for allowance.


Authorization is given to charge Deposit Account No. 50-3581 (NOKM.079PA) any necessary fees for this filing. If the Examiner believes it necessary or helpful, the undersigned attorney of record invites the Examiner to contact him at to discuss any issues related to this case.

Respectfully submitted,

HOLLINGSWORTH & FUNK, LLC
8009 34th Avenue South, Suite 125
Minneapolis, MN 55425
952.854.2700

Date: May 25, 2006

By:



William B. Ashley
Reg. No. 51,419